

HOUSE No. 1277

By Mr. Williams of Concord, petition of the Massachusetts Federation of Labor and David B. Williams for increasing the coverage of employees under the Federal Social Security Act. Labor and Industries.

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

In the Year One Thousand Nine Hundred and Fifty-Two.

AN ACT TO INCREASE THE COVERAGE OF EMPLOYEES UNDER THE FEDERAL SOCIAL SECURITY ACT.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court assembled, and by the authority of the same, as follows:

1 SECTION 1. Section 1 of chapter 118C of the Gen-
2 eral Laws, as inserted by chapter 658 of the acts of
3 1951, is hereby amended by striking out said section 1
4 and inserting in place thereof the following new sec-
5 tion 1:—

6 *Section 1. Declaration of Policy.*— In order to ex-
7 tend to employees of the state and its political sub-
8 divisions and to the dependents and survivors of such
9 employees the basic protection accorded to others by
10 the old-age and survivors insurance system embodied
11 in the Social Security Act, it is hereby declared to be
12 the policy of the legislature, subject to the limitations
13 of this chapter, that such steps be taken as to provide
14 such protection to employees of the state and its

15 political subdivisions on as broad a basis as is per-
16 mitted under the Social Security Act.

1 SECTION 2. Subsections (b), (c) and (f) of section 2
2 of said chapter 118C are hereby stricken out and the
3 following subsections (b), (c) and (f) are inserted in
4 place thereof: —

5 (b) The term “employment” means any service
6 performed by an employee in the employ of the state,
7 or any political subdivision thereof, for such employer,
8 except (1) service which in the absence of an agreement
9 entered into under this act would constitute “employ-
10 ment” as defined in the Social Security Act; or
11 (2) service which under the Social Security Act may
12 not be included in an agreement between the state and
13 the Federal Security Administrator entered into under
14 this act;

15 (c) The term “employee” includes an officer of a
16 state or political subdivision thereof;

17 (f) The term “political subdivision” includes an
18 instrumentality of a state, of one or more of its
19 political subdivisions, or of a state and one or more
20 of its political subdivisions, but only if such instru-
21 mentality is a juristic entity which is legally separate
22 and distinct from the state or subdivision, and only
23 if its employees are not by virtue of their relation to
24 such juristic entity employees of the state or sub-
25 division;

1 SECTION 3. Subsection (4) of section 3 (a) of
2 chapter 118C is hereby stricken out and the following
3 new subsections (4) and (5) are inserted in place
4 thereof: —

5 (4) All services which constitute employment as

6 defined in section two and are performed in the em-
7 ploy of the state by employees of the state, shall be
8 covered by the agreement; and

9 (5) All services which (a) constitute employment as
10 defined in section two, (b) are performed in the employ
11 of a political subdivision of the state, and (c) are cov-
12 ered by a plan which is in conformity with the terms
13 of the agreement and has been approved by the state
14 agency under section five, shall be covered by the
15 agreement.

1 SECTION 4. The word "instrumentality" in sec-
2 tion 4 of said chapter 118C is hereby stricken out and
3 the words "political subdivision of the state" are sub-
4 stituted therefor whenever said word "instrumen-
5 tality" appears in section 4, subsections (a) to (d),
6 inclusive.

1 SECTION 5. Section 6 of chapter 118C is hereby
2 amended by adding the following new sentence:—
3 For the purpose of administering the provisions of
4 this act for the year beginning January first, nineteen
5 hundred and fifty-three, there is hereby appropriated
6 from the state treasury the sum of ten thousand dol-
7 lars.

1 SECTION 6. Section 8 of chapter 118C is hereby
2 amended by the insertion of the words:— and of
3 local governments,— after the word "common-
4 wealth" in the third line of said section 8.

1. In the year 1700, the first of the
 2. year, the king of Great Britain
 3. died, and his son, George I, succeeded
 4. to the throne. This was the first
 5. time that a Hanoverian prince
 6. had ascended the British throne.
 7. The king was a German prince,
 8. and his reign was marked by
 9. the beginning of the Hanoverian
 10. dynasty. The king was a weak
 11. man, and his reign was marked
 12. by the beginning of the Hanoverian
 13. dynasty. The king was a weak
 14. man, and his reign was marked
 15. by the beginning of the Hanoverian
 16. dynasty.

17. The king was a weak man, and
 18. his reign was marked by the
 19. beginning of the Hanoverian
 20. dynasty. The king was a weak
 21. man, and his reign was marked
 22. by the beginning of the Hanoverian
 23. dynasty. The king was a weak
 24. man, and his reign was marked
 25. by the beginning of the Hanoverian
 26. dynasty. The king was a weak
 27. man, and his reign was marked
 28. by the beginning of the Hanoverian
 29. dynasty. The king was a weak
 30. man, and his reign was marked
 31. by the beginning of the Hanoverian
 32. dynasty.

33. The king was a weak man, and
 34. his reign was marked by the
 35. beginning of the Hanoverian
 36. dynasty. The king was a weak
 37. man, and his reign was marked
 38. by the beginning of the Hanoverian
 39. dynasty. The king was a weak
 40. man, and his reign was marked
 41. by the beginning of the Hanoverian
 42. dynasty. The king was a weak
 43. man, and his reign was marked
 44. by the beginning of the Hanoverian
 45. dynasty. The king was a weak
 46. man, and his reign was marked
 47. by the beginning of the Hanoverian
 48. dynasty. The king was a weak
 49. man, and his reign was marked
 50. by the beginning of the Hanoverian
 51. dynasty.

52. The king was a weak man, and
 53. his reign was marked by the
 54. beginning of the Hanoverian
 55. dynasty. The king was a weak
 56. man, and his reign was marked
 57. by the beginning of the Hanoverian
 58. dynasty. The king was a weak
 59. man, and his reign was marked
 60. by the beginning of the Hanoverian
 61. dynasty. The king was a weak
 62. man, and his reign was marked
 63. by the beginning of the Hanoverian
 64. dynasty.