

---

---

To accompany the petition of Francis P. Cullen for legislation relative to the compensation of the city council of the city of Woburn. Cities.

---

---

**The Commonwealth of Massachusetts**

---

In the Year One Thousand Nine Hundred and Fifty-Seven.

---

AN ACT RELATIVE TO THE COMPENSATION OF THE CITY COUNCIL  
OF THE CITY OF WOBURN.

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in  
General Court assembled, and by the authority of the same,  
as follows:*

1 SECTION 1. Notwithstanding the provisions of any other  
2 law to the contrary, the annual salary of members of the  
3 city council of the city of Woburn shall be five hundred  
4 dollars.

1 SECTION 2. This act shall be submitted for acceptance to  
2 the voters of said city on the official ballot to be used at the  
3 next regular election in said city in the current year, in the  
4 following form: — “Shall the members of the city council  
5 of this city receive in full compensation for their services an  
6 annual salary of five hundred dollars each?” If a majority  
7 of the votes in answer to said question is in the affirmative,  
8 then this act shall take full effect as of January first, nineteen  
9 hundred and fifty-eight, but not otherwise.

It is the duty of the Senate to advise and consent to the appointment and removal of officers of the Executive branch of the Government.

### The Constitutionality of Executive Orders

In the case of *Youngstown Sheet & Tube Co. v. Sawyer*, 343 U.S. 579 (1952), the Supreme Court held that the President's unilateral order to seize the steel mills was unconstitutional.

The Court stated that the President's power to seize the steel mills was not derived from any express or implied authority of the Constitution.

The Court held that the President's power to seize the steel mills was not supported by any provision of the Constitution.

The Court stated that the President's power to seize the steel mills was not supported by any provision of the Constitution.

The Court held that the President's power to seize the steel mills was not supported by any provision of the Constitution.