

---

---

To accompany the petition of James J. Kilroy for legislation to authorize the acquisition by the Boston Edison Company for electric transmission line and other purposes of easements in and over lands of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts in the town of Sudbury. Power and Light.

---

---

**The Commonwealth of Massachusetts**

---

In the Year One Thousand Nine Hundred and Fifty-Eight.

---

AN ACT AUTHORIZING THE ACQUISITION BY BOSTON EDISON COMPANY FOR ELECTRIC TRANSMISSION LINE AND OTHER PURPOSES OF EASEMENTS IN AND OVER LANDS OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS IN THE TOWN OF SUDBURY.

*Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court assembled, and by the authority of the same, as follows:*

1 Subject to the approval of the governor and council, the  
2 director of the division of fisheries and game, in the name and  
3 behalf of the commonwealth, may sell and convey to Boston  
4 Edison Company, an electric company organized and existing  
5 under the laws of the commonwealth, perpetual rights and ease-  
6 ments for the transmission of electric current and for telephone  
7 and signal line purposes incidental thereto under, upon and over  
8 a strip of land not exceeding two hundred and fifty feet in width,  
9 said strip being a portion of the lands situated in the town of  
10 Sudbury held for the purposes of the division of fisheries and  
11 game, for such price and upon such terms and restrictions as may  
12 be agreed upon by the director of the division of fisheries and  
13 game, and said company.

THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF SUFFRAGE RESTRICTIONS

IN THE YEAR 1890 THE LEGISLATURE OF MISSISSIPPI

THE STATE ATTORNEY HAS SUBMITTED THE FOLLOWING OPINION IN ANSWER TO A RESOLUTION PASSED BY THE SENATE ON FEBRUARY 11, 1890, RELATIVE TO THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE SUFFRAGE RESTRICTIONS ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF MISSISSIPPI IN 1890.

THE STATE ATTORNEY HAS SUBMITTED THE FOLLOWING OPINION IN ANSWER TO A RESOLUTION PASSED BY THE SENATE ON FEBRUARY 11, 1890, RELATIVE TO THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF THE SUFFRAGE RESTRICTIONS ENACTED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF MISSISSIPPI IN 1890.

1. The Constitution of the United States does not confer upon the States the power to restrict the suffrage. The power to restrict the suffrage is conferred upon the States by the Constitution of the State of Mississippi. The Constitution of the State of Mississippi, as amended, provides that the Legislature shall have the power to restrict the suffrage. The question is whether the restrictions enacted by the Legislature of Mississippi in 1890 are constitutional. The answer is that they are constitutional. The restrictions enacted by the Legislature of Mississippi in 1890 are constitutional because they are within the power conferred upon the Legislature of Mississippi by the Constitution of the State of Mississippi. The restrictions enacted by the Legislature of Mississippi in 1890 are constitutional because they are within the power conferred upon the Legislature of Mississippi by the Constitution of the State of Mississippi.