

HOUSE No. 1963

By Mr. Early of Worcester, petition of the Massachusetts Public Employees Council 41, AFSCME, AFL-CIO, and Joseph D. Early for legislation to reduce the number of hours of employment of employees of the Commonwealth. Public Service.

The Commonwealth of Massachusetts

In the Year One Thousand Nine Hundred and Seventy-Two.

AN ACT ESTABLISHING HOURS OF EMPLOYMENT OF EMPLOYEES OF
THE COMMONWEALTH.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court assembled, and by the authority of the same, as follows:

- 1 Section 30A of chapter 149 of the General Laws is further
- 2 amended by striking out the words "forty" and "thirty-seven
- 3 and one half" in all instances and inserting in place thereof in
- 4 each instance the words: - thirty-five.

No. 1853 1022

In the year of the Republic of the United States of America, 1853, I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 10th inst. in relation to the subject of the proposed amendment to the Constitution of the United States, which is as follows: "That the right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized."

The Constitution of the United States

In the year of the Republic of the United States of America, 1853, I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of your letter of the 10th inst. in relation to the subject of the proposed amendment to the Constitution of the United States, which is as follows: "That the right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures, shall not be violated, and no Warrants shall issue, but upon probable cause, supported by Oath or affirmation, and particularly describing the place to be searched, and the persons or things to be seized."

As the proposed amendment is a modification of the existing Constitution, it is not necessary to discuss the merits of the proposed amendment, but only to state that it is a modification of the existing Constitution.

It is a matter for the consideration of the people, and it is not the duty of the Government to interfere with the exercise of the right of the people to be secure in their persons, houses, papers, and effects, against unreasonable searches and seizures.

I am, Sir, very respectfully,
Your obedient servant,
John W. B.