



MASS. SS 1, 2: W 62

✓



WHO VOTES IN PRIMARY ELECTIONS?



A STUDY OF THE
VOTING BEHAVIOR OF
DEMOCRATIC,
REPUBLICAN,
AND UNENROLLED (INDEPENDENT)
VOTERS

MICHAEL JOSEPH CONNOLLY
SECRETARY OF STATE

OFFICE OF THE MASSACHUSETTS SECRETARY OF STATE
ELECTIONS DIVISION

231/100



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2014

<https://archive.org/details/whovotesinprimar00mass>

WHO VOTES IN PRIMARY ELECTIONS

Errata Sheet

Who Votes in Primary Elections contains a great many statistics and facts.

We have made every effort to insure accuracy however in a study of this size and complexity there are certain to be some omissions or typographical errors.

The following omissions were noted. Please add this information to your copy of the booklet.

- Page 8 1978 state-wide primary candidates listing:
 under Democratic Party, Treasurer, add Lawrence DiCara
- Page 50-51 Woburn 1978 Primary Contests and 1980 Primary Contests:
 under Democratic State Senate, add (4th Middlesex) - ROTONDI*,
 Lyons (1978)
 under Democratic State Senate, add (4th Middlesex) - ROTONDI*,
 Metros (1980)

WHO VOTES IN PRIMARY ELECTIONS?

A Study of the Voting Behavior of Democrats, Republicans,
and Unenrolled (Independent) Voters

Prepared and Published by
MICHAEL JOSEPH CONNOLLY
Secretary of State

THE LESSER OF TWO EVILS IS EVIL!

For further information:

Elections Division
Office of the Secretary of State
One Ashburton Place, Room 1705
Boston, Massachusetts 02108
(617) 727-2828

2/82-2M


State House
Boston, Massachusetts
February, 1982

In a state as politically aware as Massachusetts, there is a wealth of both literature and studies on election-related issues. Who Votes in Primary Elections?, prepared by the Elections Division of my office, is a valuable addition to this body of information. I am confident that the material it contains will be useful not only to political scientists, candidates, and public officials, but to all citizens with an active interest in electoral politics.

While this publication deals essentially with results of the 1978 and 1980 elections in a sampling of Massachusetts communities, the information it presents provides us with a window on the future.

I am hopeful that this study will provide the public not only with new insights into who votes in primary elections, but the impetus to increase levels of voter participation across Massachusetts.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, reading "Michael Joseph Connolly". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Michael" being the most prominent.

Michael Joseph Connolly
Secretary of State

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>Page</u>	<u>Contents</u>		
5	INTRODUCTION		
5	OBJECTIVES		
6	THE SAMPLE		
8	1978 and 1980 STATE PRIMARIES		
9	FINDINGS		
11	PART ONE - Charts	77	PART TWO - Tables
12	Lenox	78	Lenox
14	Lexington	78	Lexington
16	Lowell	78	Lowell
18	Newton	78	Newton
20	Arlington	79	Arlington
22	Boston, ward 9, precinct 3	79	Boston, ward 9, precinct 3
24	Northampton	79	Northampton
26	Peabody	79	Peabody
28	Pittsfield	79	Pittsfield
30	Salem	79	Salem
32	Weymouth	79	Weymouth
34	Belmont	80	Belmont
36	Chelmsford, precincts 1-6,12	80	Chelmsford, precincts 1-6,12
38	Dedham	80	Dedham
40	Natick	80	Natick
42	Oak Bluffs	80	Oak Bluffs
44	Sherborn	80	Sherborn
46	Southwick	80	Southwick
48	Williamstown	80	Williamstown
50	Woburn	80	Woburn
52	Ashby	81	Ashby
54	Georgetown	81	Georgetown
56	Stow	81	Stow
58	Sudbury	81	Sudbury
60	West Boylston	81	West Boylston
62	Beverly	82	Beverly
64	Danvers	82	Danvers
66	Newburyport	82	Newburyport
68	Raynham	82	Raynham
70	Savoy	82	Savoy
72	Lincoln	83	Lincoln
74	Needham	83	Needham
85	APPENDIX:		
	1 - Sample Size - Registration: Statewide Totals In Relation To Sample Communities Totals		
	2 - Communities - Alphabetical List Of Communities Included In Study (with page numbers)		

INTRODUCTION

This is a study of voting behavior in state primary elections, focusing on two groups of voters: enrolled party members and unenrolled, or independent, voters. In Massachusetts, voters who are enrolled in a major political party at the close of registration for a primary can receive only the ballot of that party at the primary election; that is, prior-enrolled Democrats may vote only in the Democratic party primary and prior-enrolled Republicans may vote only in the Republican party primary. Independents - registered voters who are not enrolled in a political party - may choose to vote as either Democrats or Republicans on the day of the primary election.

The study is a report of who voted and who did not vote in 32 communities in the 1978 and the 1980 primary elections. It presents data in a format that will enable the reader to analyze such subjects as the influence of the unenrolled (independent) voter on the outcome of the party primaries, and how the ratio of unenrolled (independent) voters who selected Democratic and Republican ballots relates to the ratio of enrolled Democrats and Republicans in each community. (See Findings, page 9.)

In Part One data is presented in a series of "pie" graphs documenting voter behavior. In Part Two data is presented in chart form showing the relative proportion (Democrats to Republicans) of those who registered, party turnout, and the party choice of unenrolled (independents) in the primaries.

OBJECTIVES

Election officials, legislators, candidates, political scientists, and the press are all interested in the role played by both enrolled party members and unenrolled (independent) voters in primary elections. It is our hope that this study will contribute to a better understanding of voter behavior. The objectives of the study were as follows:

- . To identify patterns of voting of enrolled party members and of unenrolled (independent) voters.
- . To determine what factors may influence the primary election behavior of the unenrolled (independent) voter and the prior-enrolled party member.
- . To learn the extent of the impact of the unenrolled (independent) voter, relative to the prior-enrolled party member, in the nomination of party candidates.

In addition, the study met a very practical need: to assist the Elections Division in determining the quantity of ballots to print for each party in primary elections.

THE SAMPLE

The cities and towns examined in this research project represent a cross-section of Massachusetts communities. The research focused on 31 communities plus a single precinct in the city of Boston. The sample included 15 percent of the registered voters in the state for 1978 and 15 percent for 1980 and represented between 14 and 16 percent of each voting group: Democrat, Republican, and unenrolled (independent). The sample size for 1978 was 436,535 registered voters; for 1980, 445,316. (See Appendix 1.) The sample included both large and small cities and towns. Among the communities were those in which the party enrollment was approximately equal, those that were predominantly Democratic, and those that were predominantly Republican. In addition there were communities in which unenrolled (independent) voters were the largest voting group. (see Categories and Communities below).

Checked voting lists for the 1978 and 1980 primary elections were the source of the data. The level of participation was tabulated for those who were registered as Democrats or Republicans at the close of registration (prior-enrolled), and for the party choice and level of participation of those voters not enrolled in either party (independents).

For convenience, unenrolled voters will be identified as "Independents" in the presentation of data below.

Categories and Communities

The 32 sample communities used in this study were grouped in six categories according to their relative proportion of Democrats, Republicans and Independents.

CATEGORY 1: $D > 2R$ and $D > I$

Communities in which the number of enrolled Democrats exceeds the number of enrolled Republicans by at least 2 to 1, and the number of enrolled Democrats also exceeds the number of Independents.

Lenox	Lexington	Lowell	Newton
-------	-----------	--------	--------

CATEGORY 2: $D > (R + I)$

Communities in which the number of enrolled Democrats exceeds the sum total of enrolled Republicans and Independents.

Arlington	Northampton	Salem
Boston, ward 9 precinct 3	Peabody	Weymouth
	Pittsfield	

CATEGORY 3: $I > D > R$ or $I > R > D$

Communities in which the number of Independents is greater than the number of enrolled members of either the Democratic or Republican parties, and one of the parties is greater than the other.

Belmont	Natick	Southwick
Chelmsford	Oak Bluffs	Williamstown
precincts 1-6,12	Sherborn	Woburn
Dedham		

CATEGORY 4: $I > (D + R)$ and $D \approx R$

Communities in which the number of Independents is greater than the sum total of Democrats plus Republicans, and the number of enrolled Democrats and Republicans are approximately equal.

Ashby	Stow	West Boylston
Georgetown	Sudbury	

CATEGORY 5: $I > (D + R)$ and $D > R$ or $R > D$

Communities in which the number of Independents is greater than the sum total of enrolled Democrats and Republicans, and one party is greater than the other.

Beverly	Newburyport	Savoy
Danvers	Rayham	

CATEGORY 6: $D \approx R$ and $(D + R) > I$

Communities in which the number of enrolled Democrats and Republicans are approximately equal, and their sum total is larger than the number of Independents.

Lincoln	Needham
---------	---------

THE 1978 and 1980 STATE PRIMARIES

In 1978 there was an exceptionally large turnout in the primary elections; 40 percent of Massachusetts' registered voters actually voted. That year, there were seriously-contested races in both party primaries on a state-wide level. There were contests for U.S. Senator and Governor in both parties, and for other state-wide offices in each party. In contrast, the turnout in the 1980 primary was 25.3 percent; this may reflect the lack of any state-wide offices on the ballot.

1978 primary ballot offices: U.S. Senator, U.S. Representative, Governor, Lt. Governor, Secretary of State, Attorney General, Treasurer, Auditor, Governor's Councillor, State Senator, State Representative, County Commissioner, District Attorney, County Treasurer, Register of Probate

1980 primary ballot offices: U.S. Representative, Governor's Councillor, State Senator, State Representative, County Commissioner, Sheriff

The 1978 state-wide primary candidates for both parties are listed below:

<u>Democratic Party</u>	<u>Republican Party</u>
<u>U.S. Senator</u> Kathleen Sullivan Alioto Paul Guzzi Elaine Noble Howard Phillips PAUL E. TSONGAS	<u>U.S. Senator</u> EDWARD W. BROOKE * Avi Nelson
<u>Governor</u> Michael S. Dukakis * Barbara Ackermann EDWARD J. KING	<u>Governor</u> FRANCIS W. HATCH, JR. Edward F. King
<u>Lt. Governor</u> THOMAS P. O'NEILL III *	<u>Lt. Governor</u> WILLIAM T. COWIN Peter L. McDowell
<u>Attorney General</u> FRANCIS X. BELLOTTI *	<u>Attorney General</u> WILLIAM WELD
<u>State Secretary</u> MICHAEL JOSEPH CONNOLLY David E. Crosby John Fulham William James Galvin James W. Hennigan, Jr. Lois G. Pines Anthony J. Vigliotti	<u>State Secretary</u> JOHN W. SEARS
<u>Treasurer</u> ROBERT Q. CRANE * Lawrence E. Blacke Paul R. Cacchiotti Thomas D. Lopes Dayce Philip Moore	<u>Treasurer</u> LEWIS S.W. CRAMPTON
<u>Auditor</u> THADDEUS BUCZKO * Peter G. Meade	<u>Auditor</u> WILLIAM A. CASEY

* = incumbent
name in capitals = winner of primary

FINDINGS*

1. Generally, in a community where there were contests in both parties:

- *Prior-enrolled Republicans and Democrats who voted turned out in the same proportion as the party enrollment (voters enrolled as Democrats and Republicans) in each community.*
- *Independents, in most cases, took ballots for each party in the same proportion as the party enrollment in that community.*

Example:

In Lexington in 1978:

- . of all those enrolled, 36% were Republican and 64% were Democrats.
- . of those enrolled who voted, 32% were Republican and 68% were Democrats.
- . of the Independents who voted, 33% selected Republican ballots and 67% selected Democratic ballots.

2. Generally, where there were contests of special interest to the local electorate:

- *Party voters turned out in greater numbers for the party with serious contests.*
- *More Independents chose ballots for the party with the heavily-contested office.*

Example:

In Belmont in 1980 there were no Republican races. However, on the Democratic ballot there were contested races for State Senator and State Representative, as well as two county contests.

- . 36% of the registered Democrats turned out and only 16% of the registered Republicans.
- . of the 1,608 Independents who voted, 94% chose Democratic ballots and 6% chose Republican ballots.

* Tests for statistical significance of the Findings were applied. A Pearson Product-Moment Correlation test comparing party enrollment with independent ballot choice showed that the total sample correlation or "r" value for 1978 was .83 and for 1980 was .73 out of a possible perfect correlation of 1.0. A "t" test of significance of these correlation values indicates that both were significant at the $p < .01$ level.

These high correlation values directly support both observations of Finding 1. The higher "r" value for 1978 appears to support both observations of Finding 2.

3. *Generally, there was a greater turnout of prior-enrolled party members than Independents. This may indicate that prior-enrolled party members generally have a greater voice than Independents in nominating their party's candidates. This was true in 56 of the 64 election periods analyzed in the study.*

On only eight occasions in a total of six communities did Independents vote in a primary in greater numbers than prior-enrolled party members. Those communities were:

Boston, ward 9, precinct 3, 1978 (R) primary
Lincoln 1980 (D) primary
Savoy 1978 (R) primary and 1980 (D) primary
Stow 1980 (D) primary
Sudbury 1980 (D) primary
West Boylston 1978 and 1980 (D) primary

In our sample, Independents turned out to vote in greater numbers than prior-enrolled party members only in communities in which there were more voters who were Independents than there were voters enrolled in both the Democratic and Republican parties combined. (Boston, ward 9, precinct 3 was the only exception*) Even in those communities this seems to have occurred mainly when there was a seriously contested office on the ballot, as in the 1980 Democratic contest for Representative in the 4th Congressional District.

Example

In Stow in 1980, there were 428 Democrats, 494 Republicans and 1,813 Independents. There was a seriously contested Democratic race for Congress and of the total Democratic vote of 604, 186 were prior-enrolled Democrats and 418 were Independents who chose Democratic ballots.

*EXCEPTION:

Boston, ward 9, precinct 3, has a predominantly black population which in 1978 was overwhelmingly registered in the Democratic party: there were 883 Democrats (65%), 102 Republicans (7%), and 382 Independents (28%).

In 1978, U.S. Senator Edward W. Brooke, the incumbent, was challenged in the Republican primary and he worked to persuade Independents to vote in the Republican primary, and Democrats to change their party enrollment prior to the close of registration so they might vote in the Republican primary.

In this Boston precinct, despite a high Democratic registration, the Independents overwhelmingly voted Republican: of all Independents who voted, 90% took Republican ballots. Of the total Republican primary vote, 18% were prior-enrolled Republicans and 82% were Independents who chose Republican ballots.

This voting pattern is another example of Finding 2 (above) that candidates involved in serious contests can bring out Independent voters to vote for them despite normal patterns of party affiliation in the district.

PART ONE

The 32 communities were divided on the basis of their political population (the proportion of Democrats to Republicans to Independents) into six categories so that common patterns of voter behavior, if they exist, may be seen more clearly (see Categories and Communities above).

For each community, the data for the 1978 and the 1980 primary is presented as follows.

Name of community, population, county, and location in state

Registration profile (top pie graph)

Number and percentage of registered voters enrolled in each political party, Democratic and Republican (D and R), and those unenrolled, or Independent (I), at the close of registration.

Participation levels of registered voters (middle pie graph)

Number and percentage of registered Democrats, Republicans and Independents not voting and voting .

Party choice of Independents who voted in the primary is indicated as I→R and I→D

Voting profile (lower pie graph)

Composition of the vote in each party primary: number and percentage of the vote of prior-enrolled party members (D or R) in relation to the number and percentage of Independents participating in that party's primary (I→D and I→R).

Contests (other than statewide)

Information is included for each community on the races for Congress, State Senator, and State Representative, if any, to determine if the turnout increased where there were such contests, and to show how the vote was affected. (For statewide contests, see page 8.)

Races for county offices are not included unless a resident of the community was running. In the Democratic primaries there were races for county offices in all the communities in the sample. In the Republican party there were no races for county offices except for Northwestern district attorney.

KEY TO CHARTS




D = Democratic
R = Republican
I = Independent


I→D = Independents who voted Democratic in the primary
I→R = Independents who voted Republican in the primary

name in capitals = winner
* = incumbent
+ = resident of community

> greater than
≈ approximately equal




REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	1,337	40%
 R	589	18%
 I	1,371	42%
TOTAL	3,297	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS

NOT VOTING




	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	637	19%
 R	294	9%
 I	1,119	34%
TOTAL	2,050	62%



Not Voting: 62%

Voting: 38%







VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	700	21%
 R	295	9%
 I	252	8%
TOTAL	1,247	38%

 I → D	198 - 79%
 I → R	54 - 21%

52% of registered D's voted
50% of registered R's voted
18% of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
 D	700	56%
 I → D	198	16%
D TOTAL	898	72%
 R	295	24%
 I → R	54	4%
R TOTAL	349	28%



1978 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC
State Senate (Berkshire) - BURNS, Noyes

REPUBLICAN - no contests

CATEGORY I

D > 2R and D > I




1980

LENOX

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	1,267	39%
R	617	19%
I	1,377	42%
TOTAL	3,261	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS


NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	702	22%
R	361	11%
I	861	26%
TOTAL	1,924	59%

Not Voting: 59%



Voting: 41%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	565	17%
R	256	8%
I	516	16%
TOTAL	1,337	41%

I → D 476 - 92%
I → R 40 - 8%

45% of registered D's voted
41% of registered R's voted
37% of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	565	42%
I → D	476	36%
D TOTAL	1,041	78%
R	256	19%
I → R	40	3%
R TOTAL	296	22%



1980 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC

State Senate (Berkshire) - BARRETT, Gable, Walsh


County Commissioner (Berkshire) - PIGNATELLI*+, five other candidates

REPUBLICAN

State Senate (Berkshire) - Brown, WEBBER

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	7,970	43%
R	3,855	21%
I	6,790	36%
TOTAL	18,615	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS


NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	3,463	19%
R	1,707	9%
I	5,008	27%
TOTAL	10,178	55%

Not Voting: 55%



Voting: 45%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	4,507	24%
R	2,148	12%
I	1,782	9%
TOTAL	8,437	45%

I → D 1,143 - 64%
I → R 639 - 36%

57% of registered D's voted
56% of registered R's voted
26% of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	4,507	54%
I → D	1,143	13%
D TOTAL	5,650	67%
R	2,148	25%
I → R	639	8%
R TOTAL	2,787	33%



1978 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC
State Senate (4th Middlesex) - ROTONDI*, Lyons
County Treasurer (Middlesex) - Coughlin+, six other candidates

REPUBLICAN - no contests

CATEGORY 1

D > 2R and D > I




1980

LEXINGTON

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	8,323	43%
R	4,944	26%
I	5,887	31%
TOTAL	19,154	100%





PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS

NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	3,443	18%
R	4,025	21%
I	5,697	30%
TOTAL	13,165	69%

Not Voting: 69%

Voting: 31%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	4,880	25%
R	919	5%
I	190	1%
TOTAL	5,989	31%

D	I → D	110 - 58%
R	I → R	80 - 42%

59 % of registered D's voted
19 % of registered R's voted
3 % of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	4,880	81%
I → D	110	2%
D TOTAL	4,990	83%
R	919	15%
I → R	80	2%
R TOTAL	999	17%






1980 PRIMARY CONTESTS


DEMOCRATIC

State Senator (4th Middlesex) - ROTONDI*, Metros

REPUBLICAN - no contests




REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	18,864	48%
 R	4,899	12%
 I	16,123	40%
TOTAL	39,886	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS


NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	4,508	12%
 R	2,497	6%
 I	10,523	26%
TOTAL	17,528	44%




Not Voting: 44%



Voting: 56%







VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	14,356	36%
 R	2,402	6%
 I	5,600	14%
TOTAL	22,358	56%

 I → D	4,977 - 89%
 I → R	623 - 11%

76% of registered D's voted
49% of registered R's voted
35% of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
 D	14,356	64%
 I → D	4,977	22%
D TOTAL	19,333	86%
 R	2,402	11%
 I → R	623	3%
R TOTAL	3,025	14%



1978 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC

Congress (5th District) - Burba, Hatem+, Markey, McLaughlin+, Rourke+, SHANNON
 State Representative (17th Middlesex) - Farley*+, LAMBROS*
 State Representative (18th Middlesex) - LeLACHUER*+, Cahill+, Desmond+
 State Representative (19th Middlesex) - Kennedy*+, SHEA*+
 Register of Probate & Insolvency (Middlesex) - Hogan+, five other candidates
 County Treasurer (Middlesex) - Gallagher+, six other candidates

REPUBLICAN

State Representative (18th Middlesex) - Beaumier+, McLAUGHLIN+

CATEGORY I

D > 2R and D > I




1980

LOWELL

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	17,514	44%
R	4,142	10%
I	18,602	46%
TOTAL	40,258	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS


NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	7,021	18%
R	3,300	8%
I	12,365	32%
TOTAL	22,686	58%

Not Voting: 58%



Voting: 42%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	10,493	26%
R	842	2%
I	6,237	14%
TOTAL	17,572	42%

I → D 5,944 - 95%
I → R 293 - 5%

60 % of registered D's voted
20 % of registered R's voted
34 % of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	10,493	60%
I → D	5,944	34%
D TOTAL	16,437	94%
R	842	5%
I → R	293	1%
R TOTAL	1,135	6%



1980 PRIMARY CONTESTS


DEMOCRATIC

Congress (5th District) - SHANNON*, Hatem+
State Representative (17th Middlesex) - LAMBROS*, Ayotte, Lawson
County Commissioner (Middlesex) - McLAUGHLIN*+, two other candidates
Sheriff (Middlesex) - Zabbo+, seven other candidates

REPUBLICAN - no contests

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	22,143	46%
R	7,217	15%
I	19,285	39%
TOTAL	48,645	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS

NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	8,840	19%
R	3,533	7%
I	12,005	24%
TOTAL	24,378	50%

Not Voting: 50% Voting: 50%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	13,303	27%
R	3,684	8%
I	7,280	15%
TOTAL	24,267	50%

I → D	5,206	72%
I → R	2,074	28%

60 % of registered D's voted
51 % of registered R's voted
38 % of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	13,303	55%
I → D	5,206	21%
D TOTAL	18,509	76%
R	3,684	15%
I → R	2,074	9%
R TOTAL	5,758	24%



1978 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC

Congress (4th District) - DRINAN*+, Walkert+
State Representative (10th Middlesex) - DeNUCCI*+, Stanley*, Harrington+
State Representative (11th Middlesex) - Angelone+, Baker+, COHEN+, Kelly+
County Commissioner (Middlesex) - Chisholm+, three other candidates

REPUBLICAN - no contests

CATEGORY 1

D > 2R and D > I




1980

NEWTON

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	21,338	44%
R	7,840	16%
I	19,592	40%
TOTAL	48,770	100%





PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS

NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	8,680	18%
R	6,107	13%
I	11,030	22%
TOTAL	25,817	53%

Not Voting: 53%

Voting: 47%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	12,658	26%
R	1,733	3%
I	8,562	18%
TOTAL	22,953	47%

I → D 8,195 - 96%
I → R 367 - 4%

59 % of registered D's voted
22 % of registered R's voted
44 % of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	12,658	55%
I → D	8,195	36%
D TOTAL	20,853	91%
R	1,733	8%
I → R	367	1%
R TOTAL	2,100	9%




1980 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC
Congress (4th District) - Clark, FRANK+, Mofenson+, Shaffer
State Representative (12th Middlesex) - write-inst+

REPUBLICAN
State Representative (12th Middlesex) - write-inst+

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	16,337	57%
R	3,537	12%
I	8,855	31%
TOTAL	28,729	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS

NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	4,984	17%
R	1,419	5%
I	5,974	21%
TOTAL	12,377	43%

Not Voting: 43%

Voting: 57%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	11,353	40%
R	2,118	7%
I	2,881	10%
TOTAL	16,352	57%

I → D	2,165	75%
I → R	716	25%

69 % of registered D's voted
60 % of registered R's voted
33 % of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	11,353	70%
I → D	2,165	13%
D TOTAL	13,518	83%
R	2,118	13%
I → R	716	4%
R TOTAL	2,834	17%



1978 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC

State Senator (4th Middlesex) - ROTONDI*, Lyons+

State Representative (25th Middlesex) - Campbasso**, CUSACK**, Wright+

State Representative (26th Middlesex) - Borelli, Ciano, Fiore+, Galotti, GIBSON,

Hickey, McGrath, Sullivan

County Treasurer (Middlesex) - Mahoney+, six other candidates

REPUBLICAN - no contests

CATEGORY 2

D > (R + I)




1980

ARLINGTON

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	17,652	60%
R	4,425	15%
I	7,230	25%
TOTAL	29,307	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS

NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	12,079	41%
R	3,456	12%
I	6,401	22%
TOTAL	21,936	75%

Not Voting: 75%

Voting: 25%



I → D
I → R

VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	5,573	19%
R	969	3%
I	829	3%
TOTAL	7,371	25%

I → D 730 - 88%
I → R 99 - 12%

32 % of registered D's voted
22 % of registered R's voted
11 % of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	5,573	76%
I → D	730	10%
D TOTAL	6,303	86%
R	969	13%
I → R	99	1%
R TOTAL	1,068	14%






1980 PRIMARY CONTESTS


DEMOCRATIC

State Senator (4th Middlesex) - ROTONDI*, Metros+
State Representative (26th Middlesex) - GIBSON*, Fiore+
Sheriff (Middlesex) - McLaughlin+, seven other candidates

REPUBLICAN - no contests




REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	883	65%
 R	102	7%
 I	382	28%
TOTAL	1,367	100%





PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS

NOT VOTING




	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	679	50%
 R	45	3%
 I	98	7%
TOTAL	822	60%



Not Voting: 60%

Voting: 40%







VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	204	15%
 R	57	4%
 I	284	21%
TOTAL	545	40%

 I → D 28 - 10%
 I → R 256 - 90%

23 % of registered D's voted
 56 % of registered R's voted
 74 % of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
 D	204	37%
 I → D	28	5%
D TOTAL	232	42%
 R	57	11%
 I → R	256	47%
R TOTAL	313	58%



1978 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC - no contests

REPUBLICAN - no contests

CATEGORY 2

D > (R + I)




1980

BOSTON

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	721	60%
R	193	16%
I	282	24%
TOTAL	1,196	100%





PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS

NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	597	50%
R	173	14%
I	254	22%
TOTAL	1,024	86%

Not Voting: 86%

Voting: 14%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	124	10%
R	20	2%
I	28	2%
TOTAL	172	14%

I → D 27 - 96%
 I → R 1 - 4%

17 % of registered D's voted
 10 % of registered R's voted
 10 % of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	124	72%
I → D	27	16%
D TOTAL	151	88%
R	20	12%
I → R	1	---
R TOTAL	21	12%







1980 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC - no contests

REPUBLICAN - no contests




REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	7,827	54%
 R	1,362	9%
 I	5,467	37%
TOTAL	14,656	100%





PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS

NOT VOTING




	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	3,541	24%
 R	814	6%
 I	4,441	30%
TOTAL	8,796	60%



Not Voting: 60%

Voting: 40%







VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	4,286	30%
 R	548	3%
 I	1,026	7%
TOTAL	5,860	40%

 I → D	815	79%
 I → R	211	21%

55 % of registered D's voted
40 % of registered R's voted
19 % of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
 D	4,286	73%
 I → D	815	14%
D TOTAL	5,101	87%
 R	548	9%
 I → R	211	4%
R TOTAL	759	13%



1978 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC

Register of Probate & Insolvency (Hampshire) - CZELUSNIAK+, Malinofsky+, Tobin+

REPUBLICAN - no contests

CATEGORY 2

D > (R + I)




1980

NORTHAMPTON

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	8,632	45%
R	1,641	8%
I	8,959	47%
TOTAL	19,232	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS


NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	4,830	25%
R	1,415	7%
I	8,032	42%
TOTAL	14,277	74%

Not Voting: 74%



Voting: 26%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	3,802	20%
R	226	1%
I	927	5%
TOTAL	4,955	26%

I → D 890 - 96%
I → R 37 - 4%

44 % of registered D's voted
14 % of registered R's voted
10 % of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	3,802	77%
I → D	890	18%
D TOTAL	4,692	95%
R	226	5%
I → R	37	---
R TOTAL	263	5%



1980 PRIMARY CONTESTS


DEMOCRATIC

Sheriff (Hampshire) - BOYLE*+, one other candidate
Register of Deeds (Hampshire) - Kochin+, one other candidate
County Commssioner (Hampshire) - GOGGINS+, Gonski+, Sullivan+

REPUBLICAN — no contests

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	15,431	53%
R	4,244	14%
I	9,504	33%
TOTAL	29,179	100%



PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS

NOT VOTING


	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	6,792	23%
R	2,528	9%
I	7,487	26%
TOTAL	16,807	58%

Not Voting: 58%

Voting: 42%

VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	8,639	30%
R	1,716	5%
I	2,017	7%
TOTAL	12,372	42%



56 % of registered D's voted
40 % of registered R's voted
21 % of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	8,639	70%
I → D	1,709	14%
D TOTAL	10,348	84%
R	1,716	14%
I → R	308	2%
R TOTAL	2,024	16%



1978 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC

State Senate (Berkshire) - BURNS, Noyes+
State Representative (2nd Berkshire) - LUSSIER+*, Anderson, Courtney
District Attorney (Berkshire) - FLYNN+*, Ruberto+
County Commissioner (Berkshire) - Arlos+*, Speranziti+, STRACUZZI+
County Treasurer (Berkshire) - Alberti+, ARLOS+, Garivalenti+, Prendergast+

REPUBLICAN - no contests

CATEGORY 2

D > (R + I)




1980

PITTSFIELD

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	15,867	55%
R	4,442	15%
I	8,568	30%
TOTAL	28,877	100%





PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS

NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	9,214	32%
R	2,990	10%
I	7,485	26%
TOTAL	19,689	68%

Not Voting: 68%

Voting: 32%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	6,653	23%
R	1,452	5%
I	1,083	4%
TOTAL	9,188	32%

I → D 920 - 85%
I → R 163 - 15%

42 % of registered D's voted
33 % of registered R's voted
13 % of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	6,653	72%
I → D	920	10%
D TOTAL	7,573	82%
R	1,452	16%
I → R	163	2%
R TOTAL	1,615	18%



1980 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC


State Senate (Berkshire) - BARRETT, Gable+, Walsh+
County Commissioner (Berkshire) - Jakubowicz+, five other candidates
County Treasurer (Berkshire) - Arlost+, WADSWORTH+, one other candidate

REPUBLICAN

State Senate (Berkshire) - Brown, WEBBER

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	12,016	55%
R	2,274	11%
I	7,361	34%
TOTAL	21,651	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS

NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	3,947	18%
R	1,098	5%
I	4,931	23%
TOTAL	9,976	46%

Not Voting: 46%

Voting: 54%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	8,069	37%
R	1,176	6%
I	2,430	11%
TOTAL	11,675	54%

I → D 2,111 - 87%
I → R 319 - 13%

67% of registered D's voted
52% of registered R's voted
33% of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	8,069	69%
I → D	2,111	18%
D TOTAL	10,180	87%
R	1,176	10%
I → R	319	3%
R TOTAL	1,495	13%



1978 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC

Congress (6th District) - MAVROULES, McKean, Smith
State Senator (2nd Essex) - KING, McCarthy, Tierney+
State Representative (7th Essex) - McLaughlin*+, RUANE*+
Register of Probate (Essex) - Rockett+, three other candidates
County Commissioner (Essex) - Bennett+, three other candidates
County Treasurer (Essex) - O'LEARY+, three other candidates

REPUBLICAN - no contests

CATEGORY 2

D > (R + I)




1980

SALEM

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	12,086	56%
R	2,178	10%
I	7,186	34%
TOTAL	21,450	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS


NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	5,928	27%
R	1,352	6%
I	5,279	26%
TOTAL	12,559	59%

Not Voting: 59%



Voting: 41%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	6,158	29%
R	826	4%
I	1,907	8%
TOTAL	8,891	41%

I → D 1,664 - 87%
I → R 243 - 13%

51% of registered D's voted
38% of registered R's voted
27% of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	6,158	69%
I → D	1,664	19%
D TOTAL	7,822	88%
R	826	9%
I → R	243	3%
R TOTAL	1,069	12%



1980 PRIMARY CONTESTS




DEMOCRATIC


Congress (6th District) - MAVROULES*, Bellevue
State Senator (2nd Essex) - KING*, O'Keefe+
State Representative (7th Essex) - RUANE*+, Lovely+
County Commissioner (Essex) - Costanzo+, four other candidates

REPUBLICAN

Congress (6th District) - Bronson, TRIMARCO




REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	14,554	50%
 R	3,993	14%
 I	10,540	36%
TOTAL	29,087	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS


NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	4,849	17%
 R	1,922	7%
 I	7,248	25%
TOTAL	14,019	49%




Not Voting: 49%





Voting: 51%







VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	9,705	33%
 R	2,071	7%
 I	3,292	11%
TOTAL	15,068	51%

 I → D 2,600 - 79%
 I → R 692 - 21%

67% of registered D's voted
52% of registered R's voted
31% of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
 D	9,705	64%
 I → D	2,600	17%
D TOTAL	12,305	81%
 R	2,071	14%
 I → R	692	5%
R TOTAL	2,763	19%



1978 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC

State Representative (3rd Norfolk) - CERASOLI*, Nourse+
State Representative (4th Norfolk) - AMBLER**+, Fitzsimmons**+

REPUBLICAN - no contests

CATEGORY 2

D > (R + I)




1980

WEYMOUTH

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	15,974	55%
R	4,638	16%
I	8,417	29%
TOTAL	29,029	100%





PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS

NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	12,743	44%
R	4,020	14%
I	7,818	27%
TOTAL	24,581	85%

Not Voting: 85%

Voting: 15%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	3,231	11%
R	618	2%
I	599	2%
TOTAL	4,448	15%

I → D 483 - 81%
 I → R 116 - 19%

20% of registered D's voted
 13% of registered R's voted
 7% of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	3,231	73%
I → D	483	10%
D TOTAL	3,714	83%
R	618	14%
I → R	116	3%
R TOTAL	734	17%




1980 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC - no contests

REPUBLICAN - no contests

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	5,890	34%
R	2,822	17%
I	8,462	49%
TOTAL	17,174	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS


NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	1,866	11%
R	1,173	7%
I	4,715	27%
TOTAL	7,754	45%

Not Voting: 45%



Voting: 55%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	4,024	23%
R	1,649	10%
I	3,747	22%
TOTAL	9,420	55%

I → D 2,885 - 77%
I → R 862 - 23%

68% of registered D's voted
58% of registered R's voted
44% of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	4,024	43%
I → D	2,885	30%
D TOTAL	6,909	73%
R	1,649	18%
I → R	862	9%
R TOTAL	2,511	27%



1978 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC

State Senate (Middlesex & Suffolk) - McCANN*, Kellogg, Parsons
State Representative (26th Middlesex) - Borelli+, Ciano+, Fiore, Galotti+, GIBSON+, Hickey+, McGrath+, Sullivan+

REPUBLICAN - no contests

CATEGORY 3


I > D > R or I > R > D



1980
BELMONT

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	6,019	35%
R	3,093	18%
I	8,195	47%
TOTAL	17,307	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS


NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	3,829	22%
R	2,600	15%
I	6,587	38%
TOTAL	13,016	75%

Not Voting: 75%



Voting: 25%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	2,190	13%
R	493	3%
I	1,608	9%
TOTAL	4,291	25%

I → D 1,518 - 94%
I → R 90 - 6%

36 % of registered D's voted
16 % of registered R's voted
20 % of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	2,190	51%
I → D	1,518	35%
D TOTAL	3,708	86%
R	493	12%
I → R	90	2%
R TOTAL	583	14%







1980 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC
State Senate (Middlesex and Suffolk) - McCANN*, Abt, Kellogg
State Representative (26th Middlesex) - GIBSON*+, Fiore

REPUBLICAN - no contests




REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	3,573	36%
 R	1,687	17%
 I	4,740	47%
TOTAL	10,000	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS


NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	1,793	18%
 R	834	8%
 I	3,549	36%
TOTAL	6,176	62%




Not Voting: 62%





Voting: 38%







VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	1,780	18%
 R	853	9%
 I	1,191	11%
TOTAL	3,824	38%

 I → D 838 - 70%
 I → R 353 - 30%

50% of registered D's voted
51% of registered R's voted
25% of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
 D	1,780	47%
 I → D	838	22%
D TOTAL	2,619	69%
 R	853	22%
 I → R	353	9%
R TOTAL	1,206	31%



1978 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC

Congress (5th District) - Burba, Hatem, Markey, McLaughlin, Rourke, SHANNON
State Senator (5th Middlesex) - AMICK*, Caples

REPUBLICAN - no contests

CATEGORY 3

I > D > R or I > R > D




1980

CHELMSFORD

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	3,503	34%
R	1,781	17%
I	5,139	49%
TOTAL	10,423	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS


NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	2,014	20%
R	1,483	14%
I	4,077	39%
TOTAL	7,574	73%

Not Voting: 73%



Voting: 27%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	1,489	14%
R	298	3%
I	1,062	10%
TOTAL	2,849	27%

I → D 991 - 93%
I → R 71 - 7%

42% of registered D's voted
17% of registered R's voted
21% of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	1,489	52%
I → D	991	35%
D TOTAL	2,480	87%
R	298	10%
I → R	71	3%
R TOTAL	369	13%







1980 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC - no contests

REPUBLICAN - no contests




REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	6,155	41%
 R	1,955	13%
 I	6,916	46%
TOTAL	15,026	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS


NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	2,694	18%
 R	813	5%
 I	4,543	31%
TOTAL	8,050	54%




Not Voting: 54%





Voting: 46%







VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	3,461	23%
 R	1,142	8%
 I	2,373	15%
TOTAL	6,976	46%

 I → D 1,441 - 61%
 I → R 932 - 39%

56 % of registered D's voted
58 % of registered R's voted
34 % of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
 D	3,461	50%
 I → D	1,441	20%
D TOTAL	4,902	70%
 R	1,142	16%
 I → R	932	14%
R TOTAL	2,074	30%



1978 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC

State Senator (1st Suffolk & Norfolk) LEWIS*, Corcoran, Sullivan, Walsh
County Commissioner (Norfolk) - O'Brien+, five other candidates

REPUBLICAN

State Representative (11th Norfolk) - COCHRAN+, Langan+

CATEGORY 3

I > D > R or I > R > D




1980

DEDHAM

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	6,387	41%
R	2,140	14%
I	6,867	45%
TOTAL	15,394	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS


NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	4,459	29%
R	1,592	10%
I	6,015	39%
TOTAL	12,066	78%

Not Voting: 78%



Voting: 22%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	1,928	12%
R	548	4%
I	852	6%
TOTAL	3,328	22%

I → D 632 - 74%
I → R 220 - 26%

30% of registered D's voted
26% of registered R's voted
12% of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	1,928	58%
I → D	632	19%
D TOTAL	2,560	77%
R	548	16%
I → R	220	7%
R TOTAL	768	23%







1980 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC - no contests

REPUBLICAN - no contests




REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	6,381	41%
 R	2,254	14%
 I	7,044	45%
TOTAL	15,679	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS


NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	3,752	23%
 R	1,240	8%
 I	5,711	37%
TOTAL	10,703	68%




Not Voting: 68%





Voting: 32%







VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	2,629	18%
 R	1,014	6%
 I	1,333	8%
TOTAL	4,976	32%

 I → D 769 - 58%
 I → R 564 - 42%

41 % of registered D's voted
45 % of registered R's voted
19 % of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
 D	2,629	53%
 I → D	769	15%
D TOTAL	3,398	68%
 R	1,014	20%
 I → R	564	12%
R TOTAL	1,578	32%



1978 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC
Congress (10th District) - Kelley, MARINO

REPUBLICAN - no contests

CATEGORY 3

I > D > R or I > R > D




1980

NATICK

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	6,601	40%
R	2,760	17%
I	7,083	43%
TOTAL	16,444	100%





PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS

NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	5,048	31%
R	2,378	14%
I	6,376	39%
TOTAL	13,802	84%

Not Voting: 84%

Voting: 16%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	1,553	10%
R	382	2%
I	707	4%
TOTAL	2,642	16%

I → D 613 - 87%
 I → R 94 - 13%

24 % of registered D's voted
 14 % of registered R's voted
 10 % of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	1,553	59%
I → D	613	23%
D TOTAL	2,166	82%
R	382	14%
I → R	94	4%
R TOTAL	476	18%







1980 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC
 Congress (10th District) - Gaudette, McCARTHY
 State Senator (1st Middlesex & Norfolk) - BURKE, Gordon+

REPUBLICAN - no contests




REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	270	20%
 R	515	38%
 I	557	42%
TOTAL	1,342	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS


NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	144	11%
 R	285	21%
 I	449	33%
TOTAL	878	65%




Not Voting: 65%





Voting: 35%







VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	126	9%
 R	230	17%
 I	108	9%
TOTAL	464	35%

 I → D 66 - 61%
 I → R 42 - 39%

47% of registered D's voted
 45% of registered R's voted
 19% of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
 D	126	27%
 I → D	66	14%
D TOTAL	192	41%
 R	230	50%
 I → R	42	9%
R TOTAL	272	59%



1978 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC

State Representative (Cape & Islands) - LITCHFIELD, McSweeney, Patrick, Underhill

REPUBLICAN - no contests

CATEGORY 3

I > D > R or I > R > D




1980

OAK BLUFFS

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	275	20%
R	452	32%
I	669	48%
TOTAL	1,396	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS

NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	249	18%
R	396	28%
I	653	47%
TOTAL	1,298	93%

Not Voting: 93%

Voting: 7%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	26	2%
R	56	4%
I	16	1%
TOTAL	98	7%

I → D 10 - 62%
I → R 6 - 38%

9 % of registered D's voted
12 % of registered R's voted
2 % of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	26	27%
I → D	10	10%
D TOTAL	36	37%
R	56	57%
I → R	6	6%
R TOTAL	62	63%







1980 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC - no contests

REPUBLICAN - no contests




REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	303	13%
 R	857	37%
 I	1,175	50%
TOTAL	2,335	100%



PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS



NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	151	7%
 R	161	7%
 I	541	23%
TOTAL	853	37%




Not Voting: 37%





Voting: 63%







VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	152	6%
 R	696	30%
 I	634	27%
TOTAL	1,482	63%

 I → D	99	16%
 I → R	535	84%

50% of registered D's voted
81% of registered R's voted
54% of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
 D	152	10%
 I → D	95	7%
D TOTAL	251	17%
 R	696	47%
 I → R	535	36%
R TOTAL	1,231	83%



1978 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC
Congress (10th District) - Kelly, MARINO

REPUBLICAN
State Representative (8th Middlesex) - NATSIOS*, Sprague**

CATEGORY 3

I > D > R or I > R > D




1980

SHERBORN

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	305	12%
R	980	40%
I	1,164	48%
TOTAL	2,449	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS

NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	227	9%
R	824	34%
I	1,053	43%
TOTAL	2,104	86%

Not Voting: 86%

Voting: 14%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	78	3%
R	156	6%
I	111	5%
TOTAL	345	14%

I → D 64 - 58%
I → R 47 - 42%

26 % of registered D's voted
16 % of registered R's voted
10 % of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	78	23%
I → D	64	18%
D TOTAL	142	41%
R	156	45%
I → R	47	14%
R TOTAL	203	59%




1980 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC
Congress (10th District) - Gaudette, McCARTHY

REPUBLICAN - no contests

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	1,055	33%
R	624	19%
I	1,548	48%
TOTAL	3,227	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS


NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	697	22%
R	399	12%
I	1,412	44%
TOTAL	2,508	78%

Not Voting: 78%



Voting: 22%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	358	11%
R	225	7%
I	136	4%
TOTAL	719	22%

I → D 98 - 72%
I → R 38 - 28%

34% of registered D's voted
36% of registered R's voted
9% of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	358	50%
I → D	98	14%
D TOTAL	456	64%
R	225	31%
I → R	38	5%
R TOTAL	263	36%




1978 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC - no contests

REPUBLICAN - no contests

CATEGORY 3

I > D > R or I > R > D




1980

SOUTHWICK

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	1,136	35%
R	679	20%
I	1,444	45%
TOTAL	3,259	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS

NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	811	25%
R	596	18%
I	1,357	42%
TOTAL	2,764	85%

Not Voting: 85%



Voting: 15%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	325	10%
R	83	2%
I	87	3%
TOTAL	495	15%

I → D 81 - 93%
I → R 6 - 7%

29% of registered D's voted
12% of registered R's voted
6% of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	325	66%
I → D	81	16%
D TOTAL	406	82%
R	83	17%
I → R	6	1%
R TOTAL	89	18%




1980 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC - no contests

REPUBLICAN - no contests

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	1,300	33%
R	839	21%
I	1,783	46%
TOTAL	3,922	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS


NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	793	20%
R	512	13%
I	1,572	40%
TOTAL	2,877	73%

Not Voting: 73%



Voting: 27%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	507	13%
R	327	8%
I	211	6%
TOTAL	1,045	27%

I → D	162	77%
I → R	49	23%

39 % of registered D's voted
39 % of registered R's voted
12 % of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	507	49%
I → D	162	16%
D TOTAL	669	64%
R	327	31%
I → R	49	5%
R TOTAL	376	36%



1978 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC

State Representative (2nd Berkshire) - LUSSIER*, Anderson, Courtney+

REPUBLICAN - no contests

CATEGORY 3


I > D > R or I > R > D



1980
WILLIAMSTOWN

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	1,213	30%
R	776	19%
I	2,080	51%
TOTAL	4,069	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS


NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	577	14%
R	495	12%
I	1,569	39%
TOTAL	2,641	65%

Not Voting: 65%



Voting: 35%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	636	16%
R	281	7%
I	511	12%
TOTAL	1,428	35%

I → D	444	87%
I → R	67	13%

52 % of registered D's voted
36 % of registered R's voted
25 % of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	636	45%
I → D	444	31%
D TOTAL	1,080	76%
R	281	20%
I → R	67	4%
R TOTAL	348	24%



1980 PRIMARY CONTESTS


DEMOCRATIC

State Senator (Berkshire) - BARRETT, Gable, Walsh
County Commissioner (Berkshire) - Guernsey+, five other candidates

REPUBLICAN - no contests

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	8,921	46%
R	1,144	6%
I	9,499	48%
TOTAL	19,564	100%



PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS

NOT VOTING


	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	2,730	14%
R	564	3%
I	6,632	34%
TOTAL	9,926	51%

Not Voting: 51%

Voting: 49%

VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	6,191	32%
R	580	3%
I	2,867	14%
TOTAL	9,638	49%



69% of registered D's voted
51% of registered R's voted
30% of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	6,191	65%
I → D	2,442	25%
D TOTAL	8,633	90%
R	580	6%
I → R	425	4%
R TOTAL	1,005	10%



1978 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC

State Representative (33rd Middlesex) - PALEOLOGOS*+, Mernin+

REPUBLICAN - no contests

CATEGORY 3

I > D > R or I > R > D




1980

WOBURN

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	8,605	43%
R	1,101	6%
I	10,227	51%
TOTAL	19,933	100%





PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS

NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	3,572	18%
R	846	5%
I	9,253	46%
TOTAL	13,671	69%

Not Voting: 69%

Voting: 31%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	5,033	25%
R	255	1%
I	974	5%
TOTAL	6,262	31%

I → D 937 - 96%
I → R 37 - 4%

58 % of registered D's voted
23 % of registered R's voted
10 % of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	5,033	80%
I → D	937	15%
D TOTAL	5,970	95%
R	255	4%
I → R	37	1%
R TOTAL	292	5%







1980 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC (33rd Middlesex) - PALEOLOGOS*+, Carrnst+

REPUBLICAN - no contests




REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	242	19%
 R	209	16%
 I	845	65%
TOTAL	1,296	100%





PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS

NOT VOTING




	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	163	13%
 R	134	10%
 I	730	56%
TOTAL	1,027	79%



Not Voting: 79%

Voting: 21%







VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	79	6%
 R	75	6%
 I	115	9%
TOTAL	269	21%

 I → D	64	56%
 I → R	51	44%

33 % of registered D's voted
36 % of registered R's voted
14 % of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
 D	79	29%
 I → D	64	24%
D TOTAL	143	53%
 R	75	28%
 I → R	51	19%
R TOTAL	126	47%



1978 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC
Congress (5th District) - Burba, Hatem, Markey, McLaughlin, Rourke, SHANNON

REPUBLICAN
Congress (5th District) - BUCKLEY, Rizzo

CATEGORY 4

$I > (D + R)$ and $D \approx R$




1980

ASHBY

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	198	15%
R	190	15%
I	884	70%
TOTAL	1,272	100%





PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS

NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	110	8%
R	151	12%
I	785	62%
TOTAL	1,046	82%

Not Voting: 82%

Voting: 18%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	88	7%
R	39	3%
I	99	8%
TOTAL	226	18%

I → D 87 - 88%
I → R 12 - 12%

44 % of registered D's voted
21 % of registered R's voted
11 % of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	88	39%
I → D	87	38%
D TOTAL	175	77%
R	39	17%
I → R	12	6%
R TOTAL	51	23%



1980 PRIMARY CONTESTS


DEMOCRATIC

Congress (5th District) - SHANNON*, Hatem
State Representative (1st Middlesex) - WETHERBEE*, Dunbar

REPUBLICAN - no contests

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	700	23%
R	668	21%
I	1,758	56%
TOTAL	3,126	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS


NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	322	11%
R	347	11%
I	1,317	42%
TOTAL	1,986	64%

Not Voting: 64%



Voting: 36%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	378	12%
R	321	10%
I	441	14%
TOTAL	1,140	36%

I → D 212 - 48%
I → R 229 - 52%

54 % of registered D's voted
48 % of registered R's voted
25 % of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	378	33%
I → D	212	19%
D TOTAL	590	52%
R	321	28%
I → R	229	20%
R TOTAL	550	48%



1978 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC

Congress (6th District) - MAVROULES, McKean, Smith
State Senator (1st Essex & Middlesex) - CAIN, Gracy, Jackman, Villa
State Representative (2nd Essex) - SWARTZ*, Burns, Peterson+, Rice

REPUBLICAN - no contests

CATEGORY 4

$I > (D + R)$ and $D \approx R$




1980

GEORGETOWN

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	850	27%
R	804	26%
I	1,495	47%
TOTAL	3,149	100%





PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS

NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	635	20%
R	535	17%
I	1,356	43%
TOTAL	2,526	80%

Not Voting: 80%

Voting: 20%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	215	7%
R	269	9%
I	139	4%
TOTAL	623	20%

I → D 66 - 47%
I → R 73 - 53%

25 % of registered D's voted
33 % of registered R's voted
9 % of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	215	34%
I → D	66	11%
D TOTAL	281	45%
R	269	43%
I → R	73	12%
R TOTAL	342	55%



1980 PRIMARY CONTESTS




DEMOCRATIC


Congress (6th District) - MAVROULES*, Bellevue
State Senator (1st Essex & Middlesex) - Cain, GUSTUS, McDonough

REPUBLICAN

Congress (6th District) - Bronson, TRIMARCO




REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	447	19%
 R	433	18%
 I	1,505	63%
TOTAL	2,385	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS


NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	247	11%
 R	187	8%
 I	1,161	49%
TOTAL	1,595	68%




Not Voting: 68%





Voting: 32%







VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	200	8%
 R	246	10%
 I	344	14%
TOTAL	790	32%

 I → D	192 - 56%
 I → R	152 - 44%

45 % of registered D's voted
57 % of registered R's voted
23 % of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
 D	200	26%
 I → D	192	24%
D TOTAL	392	50%
 R	246	31%
 I → R	152	19%
R TOTAL	398	50%



1978 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC
Congress (4th District) - DRINAN*, Walker

REPUBLICAN - no contests

CATEGORY 4

$I > (D + R)$ and $D \approx R$




1980

STOW

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	428	16%
R	494	18%
I	1,813	66%
TOTAL	2,735	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS

NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	242	9%
R	404	15%
I	1,356	49%
TOTAL	2,002	73%

Not Voting: 73%

Voting: 27%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	186	7%
R	90	3%
I	457	17%
TOTAL	733	27%

I → D 418 - 91%
 I → R 39 - 9%

43 % of registered D's voted
 18 % of registered R's voted
 25 % of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	186	25%
I → D	418	57%
D TOTAL	604	82%
R	90	12%
I → R	39	6%
R TOTAL	129	18%






1980 PRIMARY CONTESTS


DEMOCRATIC

Congress (4th District) - Clark, FRANK, Mofenson, Shaffer

REPUBLICAN - no contests




REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	1,719	23%
 R	1,642	22%
 I	4,249	55%
TOTAL	7,610	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS


NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	925	13%
 R	893	12%
 I	3,269	42%
TOTAL	5,087	67%




Not Voting: 67%





Voting: 33%







VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	794	10%
 R	749	10%
 I	980	13%
TOTAL	2,523	33%

 I → D	518	53%
 I → R	462	47%

46 % of registered D's voted
46 % of registered R's voted
23 % of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
 D	794	31%
 I → D	518	21%
D TOTAL	1,312	52%
 R	749	30%
 I → R	462	18%
R TOTAL	1,211	48%



1978 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC

County Commissioner (Middlesex) - Hennessy+, three other candidates

REPUBLICAN - no contests

CATEGORY 4

$I > (D + R)$ and $D \approx R$




1980

SUDBURY

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	1,899	22%
R	2,018	25%
I	4,242	53%
TOTAL	8,159	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS


NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	847	9%
R	1,736	22%
I	3,034	38%
TOTAL	5,617	69%

Not Voting: 69%



Voting: 31%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	1,052	13%
R	282	3%
I	1,208	15%
TOTAL	2,542	31%

I → D 1,169 - 97%
I → R 39 - 3%

55 % of registered D's voted
14 % of registered R's voted
28 % of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	1,052	41%
I → D	1,169	46%
D TOTAL	2,221	87%
R	282	11%
I → R	39	2%
R TOTAL	321	13%




1980 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC
Congress (4th District) - Clark, FRANK, Mofenson, Shaffer

REPUBLICAN - no contests

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	570	16%
R	519	14%
I	2,577	70%
TOTAL	3,666	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS

NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	252	7%
R	249	7%
I	2,027	55%
TOTAL	2,528	69%

Not Voting: 69%

Voting: 31%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	318	9%
R	270	7%
I	550	15%
TOTAL	1,138	31%

I → D 384 - 70%
I → R 166 - 30%

56 % of registered D's voted
52 % of registered R's voted
21 % of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	318	28%
I → D	384	34%
D TOTAL	702	62%
R	270	24%
I → R	166	14%
R TOTAL	436	38%



1978 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC

State Senator (Worcester) - D'AMICO*, Hester
County Commissioner (Worcester) - Carrigan+, four other candidates

REPUBLICAN - no contests

CATEGORY 4

$I > (D + R)$ and $D \approx R$




1980

WEST BOYLSTON

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	656	18%
R	639	18%
I	2,320	64%
TOTAL	3,615	100%





PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS

NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	458	12%
R	554	16%
I	2,058	57%
TOTAL	3,070	85%

Not Voting: 85%

Voting: 15%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	198	6%
R	85	2%
I	262	7%
TOTAL	545	15%

I → D 232 - 89%
I → R 30 - 11%

30 % of registered D's voted
13 % of registered R's voted
11 % of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	198	36%
I → D	232	43%
D TOTAL	430	79%
R	85	16%
I → R	30	5%
R TOTAL	115	21%







1980 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC
County Commissioner (Worcester) - Carrigan+, six other candidates

REPUBLICAN - no contests




REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	4,071	30%
 R	2,549	19%
 I	6,821	51%
TOTAL	13,441	100%



PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS


NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	1,179	9%
 R	1,191	9%
 I	4,190	31%
TOTAL	6,560	49%




Not Voting: 49%





Voting: 51%







VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	2,892	21%
 R	1,358	10%
 I	2,631	20%
TOTAL	6,881	51%

 I → D 2,153 - 82%
 I → R 478 - 18%

71% of registered D's voted
53% of registered R's voted
39% of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
 D	2,892	42%
 I → D	2,153	31%
D TOTAL	5,045	73%
 R	1,358	20%
 I → R	478	7%
R TOTAL	1,836	27%



1978 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC

Congress (6th District) - MAVROULES, McKean, Smith
 State Senator (2nd Essex) - KING+, McCarthy, Tierney
 State Representative (12th Essex) - Barrett, Birmingham, Cersosimo, DiScipio, Dullea,
 Funchion, McBreen, O'Donnell, SPELIOTIS+,
 State Representative (13th Essex) - MURPHY*, Bellevue+

REPUBLICAN - no contests

CATEGORY 5

$I > (D + R)$ and $D > R$ or $R > D$




1980

DANVERS

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	4,376	32%
R	2,456	18%
I	6,836	50%
TOTAL	13,668	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS


NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	2,064	15%
R	1,541	11%
I	5,140	38%
TOTAL	8,745	64%

Not Voting: 64%



Voting: 36%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	2,312	17%
R	915	7%
I	1,696	12%
TOTAL	4,923	36%

I → D 1,412 - 83%
I → R 284 - 17%

53% of registered D's voted
37% of registered R's voted
25% of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	2,312	47%
I → D	1,412	29%
D TOTAL	3,724	76%
R	915	18%
I → R	284	6%
R TOTAL	1,199	24%




1980 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC
Congress (6th District) - MAVROULES*, Bellevue+
State Senator (2nd Essex) - KING*+, O'Keefe
State Representative (12th Essex) - SPELIOTIS*+, Cersosimo, McGinn

REPUBLICAN - no contests

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	2,540	25%
R	2,200	22%
I	5,402	53%
TOTAL	10,142	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS


NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	1,276	13%
R	1,432	14%
I	4,240	42%
TOTAL	6,948	69%

Not Voting: 69%



Voting: 31%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	1,264	12%
R	768	8%
I	1,162	11%
TOTAL	3,194	31%

I → D 776 - 67%
I → R 386 - 33%

50 % of registered D's voted
35 % of registered R's voted
22 % of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	1,264	40%
I → D	776	24%
D TOTAL	2,040	64%
R	768	24%
I → R	386	12%
R TOTAL	1,154	36%



1978 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC

Congress (6th District) - MAVROULES, McKean, Smith

State Senate (3rd Essex) - POLLARD*, Rurak

State Representative (1st Essex) - COSTELLO, Humphrey, Melansont+, Sewell, Thomson

REPUBLICAN

State Representative (1st Essex) - Cashman, LAGOULIS+, O'Brien+

CATEGORY 5

I > (D + R) and D > R or R > D




1980

NEWBURYPORT

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	2,542	30%
R	1,829	21%
I	4,151	49%
TOTAL	8,522	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS


NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	1,460	17%
R	1,409	16%
I	3,405	40%
TOTAL	6,274	73%

Not Voting: 73%



Voting: 27%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	1,082	13%
R	420	5%
I	746	9%
TOTAL	2,248	27%

I → D 538 - 72%
I → R 208 - 28%

43 % of registered D's voted
23 % of registered R's voted
18 % of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	1,082	48%
I → D	538	24%
D TOTAL	1,620	72%
R	420	19%
I → R	208	9%
R TOTAL	628	28%



1980 PRIMARY CONTESTS




DEMOCRATIC


Congress (6th District) - MAVROULES*, Bellevue
State Representative (1st Essex) - COSTELLO*, Papoulis

REPUBLICAN

Congress (6th District) - Bronson, TRIMARCO




REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	1,040	24%
 R	780	18%
 I	2,449	58%
TOTAL	4,269	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS


NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	694	16%
 R	371	9%
 I	1,986	47%
TOTAL	3,051	72%




Not Voting: 72%





Voting: 28%







VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	346	8%
 R	409	9%
 I	463	11%
TOTAL	1,218	28%

 I → D	290 - 63%
 I → R	173 - 37%

33% of registered D's voted
52% of registered R's voted
19% of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
 D	346	28%
 I → D	290	24%
D TOTAL	636	52%
 R	409	34%
 I → R	173	14%
R TOTAL	582	48%



1978 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC
Congress (10th District) - Kelley, MARINO

REPUBLICAN - no contests

CATEGORY 5

$I > (D + R)$ and $D > R$ or $R > D$




1980

RAYNHAM

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	1,009	22%
R	1,044	23%
I	2,505	55%
TOTAL	4,558	100%





PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS

NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	486	11%
R	714	16%
I	1,925	42%
TOTAL	3,125	69%

Not Voting: 69%

Voting: 31%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	523	11%
R	330	7%
I	580	13%
TOTAL	1,433	31%

I → D 434 - 75%
I → R 146 - 25%

52 % of registered D's voted
32 % of registered R's voted
23 % of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	523	37%
I → D	434	30%
D TOTAL	957	67%
R	330	23%
I → R	146	10%
R TOTAL	476	33%



1980 PRIMARY CONTESTS




DEMOCRATIC


Congress (10th District) - Gaudette, McCARTHY
State Representative (8th Plymouth) - Canepa, CHIOCCA, McKinnon, Philben

REPUBLICAN

State Representative (8th Plymouth) - DuPONT, Provost




REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	53	22%
 R	34	14%
 I	153	64%
TOTAL	240	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS

NOT VOTING




	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	37	15%
 R	24	10%
 I	135	57%
TOTAL	196	82%



Not Voting: 82%

Voting: 18%







VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	16	7%
 R	10	4%
 I	18	7%
TOTAL	44	18%

 I → D 10 - 56%
 I → R 8 - 44%

30 % of registered D's voted
29 % of registered R's voted
12 % of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
 D	16	36%
 I → D	10	23%
D TOTAL	26	59%
 R	10	23%
 I → R	8	18%
R TOTAL	18	41%




1978 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC
State Senator (Berkshire) - BURNS, Noyes

REPUBLICAN - no contests

CATEGORY 5

I > (D + R) and D > R or R > D




1980

SAVOY

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	47	19%
R	31	12%
I	176	69%
TOTAL	254	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS

NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	34	14%
R	22	8%
I	152	60%
TOTAL	208	82%

Not Voting: 82%

Voting: 18%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	13	5%
R	9	4%
I	24	9%
TOTAL	46	18%

I → D 16 - 67%
I → R 8 - 33%

28 % of registered D's voted
29 % of registered R's voted
14 % of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	13	28%
I → D	16	35%
D TOTAL	29	63%
R	9	20%
I → R	8	17%
R TOTAL	17	37%




1980 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC
State Senator (Berkshire) - BARRETT, Gable, Walsh

REPUBLICAN
State Senator (Berkshire) - Brown, WEBBER

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	801	27%
R	768	26%
I	1,400	47%
TOTAL	2,969	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS


NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	309	10%
R	354	12%
I	882	30%
TOTAL	1,545	52%

Not Voting: 52%



Voting: 48%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	492	17%
R	414	14%
I	518	17%
TOTAL	1,424	48%

I → D	308	59%
I → R	210	41%

61 % of registered D's voted
54 % of registered R's voted
37 % of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	492	35%
I → D	308	21%
D TOTAL	800	56%
R	414	29%
I → R	210	15%
R TOTAL	624	44%



1978 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC
State Senate (5th Middlesex) - AMICK*, Caples

REPUBLICAN - no contests

CATEGORY 6

$D \approx R$ and $(D + R) > I$




1980

LINCOLN

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	675	21%
R	797	25%
I	1,676	54%
TOTAL	3,148	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS


NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	312	9%
R	648	20%
I	1,048	34%
TOTAL	2,008	63%

Not Voting: 63%



Voting: 37%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	363	12%
R	149	5%
I	628	20%
TOTAL	1,140	37%

I → D 605 - 96%
I → R 23 - 4%

54 % of registered D's voted
19 % of registered R's voted
37 % of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	363	32%
I → D	605	53%
D TOTAL	968	85%
R	149	13%
I → R	23	2%
R TOTAL	172	15%







1980 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC
Congress (4th District) - FRANK, Clark, Mofenson, Shaffer

REPUBLICAN - no contests




REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	5,730	32%
 R	5,118	29%
 I	6,854	39%
TOTAL	17,702	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS


NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	2,812	16%
 R	2,202	12%
 I	5,190	30%
TOTAL	10,204	58%




Not Voting: 58%





Voting: 42%







VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
 D	2,918	16%
 R	2,916	17%
 I	1,664	9%
TOTAL	7,498	42%

 I → D	938	56%
 I → R	726	44%

51% of registered D's voted
56% of registered R's voted
24% of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
 D	2,918	39%
 I → D	938	12%
D TOTAL	3,856	51%
 R	2,916	39%
 I → R	726	10%
R TOTAL	3,642	49%




1978 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC - no contests

REPUBLICAN - no contests

CATEGORY 6

$D \approx R$ and $(D + R) > I$




1980

NEEDHAM

REGISTRATION

	NUMBER REGISTERED	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	5,519	31%
R	5,259	29%
I	7,237	40%
TOTAL	18,015	100%




PARTICIPATION LEVELS OF REGISTERED VOTERS


NOT VOTING

	NUMBER NOT VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	3,894	22%
R	4,165	23%
I	6,372	35%
TOTAL	14,431	80%

Not Voting: 80%



Voting: 20%



VOTING


	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL REGISTRATION
D	1,625	9%
R	1,094	6%
I	865	5%
TOTAL	3,584	20%

I → D 700 - 81%
I → R 165 - 19%

29% of registered D's voted
21% of registered R's voted
12% of registered I's voted

VOTING PROFILE

	NUMBER VOTING	% OF TOTAL VOTING
D	1,625	45%
I → D	700	20%
D TOTAL	2,325	65%
R	1,094	30%
I → R	165	5%
R TOTAL	1,259	35%



1980 PRIMARY CONTESTS

DEMOCRATIC

State Representative (13th Norfolk)† - Larkin*, Mahoney+, TOMASELLO+

REPUBLICAN - no contests

† Robert Larkin withdrew from the race past the deadline for removing his name from the ballot. The other contestants were write-in candidates.

PART TWO

When citizens register to vote in Massachusetts, they may enroll in a party or remain unenrolled (independent). All registered voters are eligible to vote in a primary: voters enrolled as Democrats at the close of registration for a primary (28 days before the primary) may only vote in the Democratic primary; voters enrolled as Republicans at the close of registration may only vote as Republicans; those who are unenrolled (independents), may vote in either the Democratic or Republican primary on election day.

The tables in Part Two show the relative proportion of voters in each community in the sample for the 1978 and 1980 primaries by:

1. enrollment by party
2. turnout of party members
3. party choice of unenrolled voters (independents) who voted in the primary.

Column 1 - ENROLLMENT BY PARTY as a percentage of total enrollment.

The total number of voters in each community who were enrolled in political parties (Democrats plus Republicans) was used as the base (100%). This does not include registered voters who were unenrolled (independents). The number of registered Republicans was divided by the total number of party members to obtain a percentage of the total enrolled as Republicans. The same was done to obtain the percentage of Democrats.

Column 2 - TURNOUT OF PARTY MEMBERS as a percentage of total number of party members voting.

The total number of prior-enrolled party members (Democrats plus Republicans) who actually turned out to vote was used as the base (100%). To obtain the percentage of those who were Republicans, the number of Republican voters was divided by the total number of party members who voted. The same was done to identify the percentage of Democrats.

Column 3 - PARTY CHOICE OF INDEPENDENTS as a percentage of independent turnout.

The total number of registered voters in a community who were not enrolled in either of the political parties (independents) who turned out to vote in the primary was used as the base (100%). The number of independent voters who chose a Republican ballot was divided by the total number of independents who turned out to obtain the percentage of independents who voted Republican. The same was done to obtain the percentage of independents who voted Democratic.

TABLES

As in Part I the communities have been divided into six categories, each representing differing proportions of Democrats, Republicans and Independents.

CATEGORY 1 D > 2R and D > I

Communities in which the number of enrolled Democrats exceeds the number of enrolled Republicans by at least 2 to 1, and the number of enrolled Democrats also exceeds the number of Independents.

	ENROLLMENT BY PARTY as a percentage of total party enrollment		TURNOUT OF PARTY MEMBERS as a percentage of total party members voting		PARTY CHOICE OF INDEPENDENTS as a percentage of independent turnout	
	R	D	R	D	R	D
<u>Lenox</u>						
1978	31%	69%	30%	70%	21%	79%
1980	33%	67%	31%	69%	8%	92%
<u>Lexington</u>						
1978	33%	67%	32%	68%	36%	64%
1980	38%	62%	16%	84%	42%	58%
<u>Lowell</u>						
1978	20%	80%	15%	85%	11%	89%
1980	18%	82%	8%	92%	5%	95%
<u>Newton</u>						
1978	25%	75%	22%	78%	28%	72%
1980	27%	73%	12%	88%	4%	96%

CATEGORY 2 D > (R + I)

Communities in which the number of enrolled Democrats exceeds the sum total of enrolled Republicans and Independents.

	ENROLLMENT BY PARTY as a percentage of total party enrollment		TURNOUT OF PARTY MEMBERS as a percentage of total party members voting		PARTY CHOICE OF INDEPENDENTS as a percentage of independent turnout	
	R	D	R	D	R	D
<u>Arlington</u>						
1978	18%	82%	16%	84%	25%	75%
1980	20%	80%	15%	85%	4%	96%
<u>Boston,</u> <small>Wd. 9, pct. 3</small>						
1978	10%	90%	23%	77%	90%	10%
1980	21%	79%	14%	86%	21%	79%
<u>Northampton</u>						
1978	15%	85%	11%	89%	21%	79%
1980	16%	84%	6%	94%	4%	96%
<u>Peabody</u>						
1978	11%	89%	8%	92%	14%	86%
1980	12%	88%	9%	91%	15%	85%
<u>Pittsfield</u>						
1978	21%	79%	17%	83%	15%	85%
1980	21%	79%	18%	82%	15%	85%
<u>Salem</u>						
1978	16%	84%	13%	87%	13%	87%
1980	15%	85%	12%	88%	13%	87%
<u>Weymouth</u>						
1978	22%	78%	18%	82%	21%	79%
1980	22%	78%	16%	84%	19%	81%

CATEGORY 3 I > D > R or i > R > D

Communities in which the number of Independents is greater than the number of enrolled members of either the Democratic or Republican parties and one of the parties is greater than the other.

	ENROLLMENT BY PARTY as a percentage of total party enrollment		TURNOUT OF PARTY MEMBERS as a percentage of total party members voting		PARTY CHOICE OF INDEPENDENTS as a percentage of independent turnout	
	R	D	R	D	R	D
<u>Belmont</u>						
1978	32%	68%	29%	71%	23%	77%
1980	34%	66%	18%	82%	6%	94%
<u>Chelmsford</u> Pcts. 1-6, 12						
1978	32%	68%	32%	68%	30%	70%
1980	33%	67%	16%	84%	7%	93%
<u>Dedham</u>						
1978	24%	76%	24%	76%	39%	61%
1980	25%	75%	22%	78%	26%	74%
<u>Natick</u>						
1978	25%	75%	27%	73%	42%	58%
1980	30%	70%	19%	81%	13%	87%
<u>Oak Bluffs</u>						
1978	74%	26%	65%	35%	39%	61%
1980	77%	23%	68%	32%	38%	62%
<u>Sherborn</u>						
1978	74%	26%	82%	18%	84%	16%
1980	77%	23%	66%	34%	42%	58%
<u>Southwick</u>						
1978	37%	63%	38%	62%	28%	72%
1980	38%	62%	20%	80%	7%	93%
<u>Williamstown</u>						
1978	39%	61%	39%	61%	23%	77%
1980	39%	61%	30%	70%	13%	87%
<u>Woburn</u>						
1978	12%	88%	9%	91%	15%	85%
1980	12%	88%	5%	95%	4%	96%

CATEGORY 4 $I > (D + R)$ and $D \approx R$

Communities in which the number of Independents is greater than the sum total of Democrats plus Republicans and the number of enrolled Democrats and Republicans are approximately equal.

	ENROLLMENT BY PARTY as a percentage of total party enrollment		TURNOUT OF PARTY MEMBERS as a percentage of total party members voting		PARTY CHOICE OF INDEPENDENTS as a percentage of independent turnout	
	R	D	R	D	R	D
<u>Ashby</u>						
1978	46%	54%	49%	51%	44%	56%
1980	50%	50%	30%	70%	12%	88%
<u>Georgetown</u>						
1978	49%	51%	46%	54%	52%	48%
1980	49%	51%	55%	45%	53%	47%
<u>Stow</u>						
1978	49%	51%	55%	45%	44%	56%
1980	53%	47%	32%	68%	9%	91%
<u>Sudbury</u>						
1978	49%	51%	49%	51%	47%	53%
1980	53%	47%	21%	79%	3%	97%
<u>West Boylston</u>						
1978	47%	53%	46%	54%	30%	70%
1980	50%	50%	30%	70%	11%	89%

CATEGORY 5 $I > (D + R)$ and $D > R$ or $R > D$

Communities in which the number of Independents is greater than the sum total of enrolled Democrats and Republicans, and one party is greater than the other.

	ENROLLMENT BY PARTY as a percentage of total party enrollment		TURNOUT OF PARTY MEMBERS as a percentage of total party members voting		PARTY CHOICE OF INDEPENDENTS as a percentage of independent turnout	
	R	D	R	D	R	D
<u>Beverly</u>						
1978	45%	55%	42%	58%	26%	74%
1980	42%	58%	47%	53%	40%	60%
<u>Danvers</u>						
1978	39%	61%	32%	68%	18%	82%
1980	36%	64%	29%	71%	17%	83%
<u>Newburyport</u>						
1978	46%	54%	40%	60%	33%	67%
1980	41%	59%	28%	72%	28%	72%
<u>Raynham</u>						
1978	43%	57%	55%	45%	37%	63%
1980	51%	49%	38%	62%	25%	75%
<u>Savoy</u>						
1978	39%	61%	39%	61%	44%	56%
1980	39%	61%	41%	59%	33%	67%

CATEGORY 6 $D \approx R$ and $(D + R) > I$

Communities in which the number of enrolled Democrats and Republicans are approximately equal and their sum total is larger than the number of Independents.

	ENROLLMENT BY PARTY as a percentage of total party enrollment		TURNOUT OF PARTY MEMBERS as a percentage of total party members voting		PARTY CHOICE OF INDEPENDENTS as a percentage of independent turnout	
	R	D	R	D	R	D
<u>Lincoln</u>						
1978	49%	51%	45%	55%	41%	59%
1980	54%	46%	29%	71%	4%	96%
<u>Needham</u>						
1978	48%	52%	50%	50%	44%	56%
1980	48%	52%	41%	59%	19%	81%

Appendix 1 - Sample Size

REGISTRATION: STATEWIDE TOTALS IN RELATION
TO SAMPLE COMMUNITIES TOTALS

<u>1978 PRIMARY</u>	DEMOCRATS	REPUBLICANS	INDEPENDENTS	REGISTERED VOTERS
Statewide Totals	1,346,896 (46%)	419,228 (14%)	1,153,380 (40%)	2,919,504 (100%)
Sample Communities Totals	188,572 (43%)	66,372 (15%)	181,591 (42%)	436,535 (100%)

<u>1980 PRIMARY</u>	DEMOCRATS	REPUBLICANS	INDEPENDENTS	REGISTERED VOTERS
Statewide Totals	1,368,713 (46%)	453,838 (15%)	1,140,916 (39%)	2,963,467 (100%)
Sample Communities Totals	192,711 (43%)	71,112 (16%)	181,493 (41%)	445,316 (100%)

Appendix 2 - Communities

ALPHABETICAL LIST OF COMMUNITIES INCLUDED IN STUDY

<u>Community</u>	<u>Page Numbers</u>
Arlington	20, 21, 79
Ashby	52, 53, 81
Belmont	34, 35, 80
Beverly	62, 63, 82
Boston, ward 9, precinct 3	22, 23, 79
Chelmsford, precincts 1-6,12	36, 37, 80
Danvers	64, 65, 82
Dedham	38, 39, 80
Georgetown	54, 55, 81
Lenox	12, 13, 78
Lexington	14, 15, 78
Lincoln	72, 73, 83
Lowell	16, 17, 78
Natick	40, 41, 80
Needham	74, 75, 83
Newburyport	66, 67, 82
Newton	18, 19, 78
Northampton	24, 25, 79
Oak Bluffs	42, 43, 80
Peabody	26, 27, 79
Pittsfield	28, 29, 79
Raynham	68, 69, 82
Salem	30, 31, 79
Savoy	70, 71, 82
Sherborn	44, 45, 80
Southwick	46, 47, 80
Stow	56, 57, 81
Sudbury	58, 59, 81
West Boylston	60, 61, 81
Weymouth	32, 33, 79
Williamstown	48, 49, 80
Woburn	50, 51, 80

